


Jesuit Hollywood



The influence of the Jesuits over Hollywood during its so-called “Golden Age”. Evidence of the way in which the Roman Catholic institution pursues its never-ending objective of conquering the world, in particular what could be called the “Protestant world”, by seeking to harness and make use of the most powerful entertainment medium the world has ever known: the movie industry.

Antichrist Powers on the Rise



The European Union is not even trying to represent Christianity. They are trying to overthrow Christianity. They hate Christianity. That's why they're promoting sodomy and Sharia law and trying to advance the Muslims and fill up all the countries with as many Muslim migrants as they possibly can to sabotage Christian civilization. So what had been for centuries, the Christian standard is going to be done away with and replaced by something else, some kind of socialist, Sharia form of government.

Vatican Power Over Governments



The Vatican is an organizational weapon in the hands of the papacy and other top ecclesiastical officials. Religious ideology has increasingly become subordinated to organizational imperatives.

Popery The Foe of the Church and of the Republic



The history of the pagan practices of the Roman Catholic Church which continue to this day.

What exactly is the World Economic Forum?



I found a talk by Larry Alex Taunton that I like on my friend's website [Global Depopulation by WEF](#)

Mr. Taunton has very interesting things to say about Klaus Schwab's World Economic Forum. He gives the history and the motivation behind it.

Larry Alex Taunton (born, May 24, 1967) is an American author, columnist, and cultural commentator. He has personally engaged some of the most outspoken opponents of Christianity, including Richard Dawkins, Christopher Hitchens, and Peter Singer.

Quoted from the YouTube video:

In this, our fourth episode of the "Ideas Have Consequences" podcast, author and host Larry Alex Taunton cuts through the conspiracy theories and the WEF's noble slogans to explain the history of this sinister organization and the anti-human ideas driving it. Taunton, who attended the WEF's annual meeting in Davos, Switzerland as a kind of spy, brings a unique knowledge and experience to this important issue. This is THE podcast when it comes to ideas and the World Economic Forum.

Important points from the video

- The elite are telling us all how we need to reduce our carbon footprint. The carbon they want to reduce is you.
- There are a boatload of ideas that are driving the World Economic Forum. They are sinister ideas but the people themselves don't think of themselves as sinister. Indeed they think of themselves as very decent good people who are doing what is the best for humanity.
- C.S Lewis once made the observation that the worst kind of tyranny is that which is done for your own good. And it's because those kind of tyrants are individuals who tyrannize you with the approval of their consciences. They're individuals who reassure themselves that at the end of the day what they're doing even if it caused a little bit of harm, it was ultimately for your own good. It's why a guy like Joseph Stalin when asked by a lady, "When are you going to stop killing people? He said, "When it's no longer necessary." He simply meant, to make the Socialist, the Stalinist, the Marxist omelet, you got to break a few eggs. And this is the mentality of the World Economic Forum.
- Human beings in the Socialist, Marxist, Communist, and Fascist way of

thinking are simply raw materials for building the Utopian state, and this defines the World Economic Forum as well.

- Atheism is a major driving factor.
- The guys that are coming after you, they're not going to have gone to Sunday school, they're not going to be people who have been influenced to the degree that you are by the Christian faith. They're going to be prepared to follow their atheism to its logical conclusions. They're like Peter Singer (Australian moral philosopher and the Ira W. DeCamp Professor of Bioethics at Princeton University) who's the most consistent atheist that I've ever met. They're going to be willing to follow it all the way to where it goes which is to say there's no ultimate meaning in life other than that which you assign to yourself which again has no real meaning, no transcendent meaning. It's just kind of a fake meaning that you give yourself in order to feel better about this life. It means there's no life in the Hereafter, there's no hope, there's no justice, there's no ultimate right and wrong, there is only what happens. And if it means that you're a genocidal maniac, who cares? There's no ultimate right and wrong. And it means a guy like Stalin or Mao got away with it. There's no one in the next life to judge them for what they did. (Or so they think!) Once a culture absorbs that kind of ideology, atheism at its core, it's anything goes. And we're starting to see that in the culture now.
- The World Economic Forum has moved beyond the debate over God's existence to a place where their whole premise, their whole world view just more or less assumes there is no God. And they're taking it to the next level, they're taking it to its logical conclusion.
- At its core the World Economic Forum is about population control. They want to reduce the global population.
- They use the word "sustainability." That is a word you should be very wary of any time you hear sustainability, economic sustainability, development sustainability, governmental sustainability, or agricultural sustainability. Nothing good follows on the backside of the word sustainability. It always turns out to be fundamentally anti-human and that is because the World Economic Forum is anti-human. Atheism taken to its logical conclusions is anti-human.
- A lot of these World Economic Forum types don't believe in human perfectibility. They believe in societal perfectibility. There's a slight difference between those two. They recognize that human beings are flawed but they they think they're flawed for a different reason. They don't think it is because of the Fall as I believe as a Christian from the Genesis account of creation and the polluting of the human spirit from Adam all the way down.
- I remember how Richard Dawkins put this to me in his home some years ago. I said, "Do you believe in evil?" And he says, "I believe in genetic predispositions." So he's rejecting the premise that there's an ultimate right and wrong, there are only genetic predispositions, and those pre-dispositions are divided into two categories, those dispositions that we like and those dispositions that we don't like, that we consider to be anti-social and therefore things to be eradicated. That's an evil philosophy because it leads you to believe that we can separate out the evil people and destroy them and we're left

off with a better humanity. This is what drove Hitler, just separate out the bad people and destroy them.


- The World Economic Forum itself was founded in 1971. Between 1965 and 1975 there was an obsession with the global population, that the population was getting out of control.
- This concern over population control had infected the thinking of academic elites, and so they were buying into this in a big way, and the Club of Rome comes along. This is a group that was established in Rome which oddly now is based in Zurich. They should be called the Club of Zurich. It was a group of about 25 people the goal of it becoming about 60 people, but I think they're north of 100 members now. They're mostly individuals who are think-tankish types. They are academics, they're businessmen, they are influential people who gather together for the purpose of bettering mankind. That's the stated goal of the Club of Rome. It's a think tank, a vastly influential think tank.
- The club of Rome was saying, "Look we have a global crisis and the global crisis is overpopulation. Read what Paul Ehrlich said in the *"Population Bomb."* We're in trouble what are we going to do?" So they said, "Rather than doing what academics normally do and just producing a paper that's full of theories and suggestions, let's actually create an executive committee that acts on their recommendations of the think tank. We need to create the problem: Overpopulation."
- Klaus Schwab, a German engineer, founded what he called at the time the World Forum. He would eventually change the name to the World Economic Forum. The World Economic Forum was created with the intention that it would act upon the think tank's (the Club of Rome's) recommendations.
- You have to think about this just a little bit how arrogant must you be to think that it is your job to act on behalf of the whole of humanity without being elected to so much as dog catcher! These are not elected individuals. At the time of its founding, the World Economic Forum wasn't particularly influential. Now it is.
- This year's World Economic Forum there were more than 50 heads of state, 115 billionaires and more than 600 CEOs of major corporations. Major multi-billion dollar corporations that are involved in this. And then there are peons like me who attended which are another 2700 individuals. And so I decided I needed to be there. I wanted to mingle among the 2700 others. I wanted to see what those people are about.
- Dennis Meadows (American scientist and Club of Rome member) is here saying, "Yeah, we need to reduce the global population by billions, but hey I really hope this can be done in a civil way, in a peaceful way." It's astonishing the way these people talk about peace. It doesn't mean that everybody's happy, but it means that conflict isn't solved through violence and through force but rather in other ways.
- Dennis Meadows comes off as just your regular normal guy who lives next door and who you discover wants to rid the planet of seven billion people. Here's a guy who says, "Gosh I sure hope that we can do it in a sustainable way." And then you hear the absolute contempt for democracy, for the will of the people. This is the way these people think. They do not believe that you deserve a voice in this.
- We have Marxist regimes in South America that are destroying economies. We have seen Brazil fall to Marxists via dubious means Venezuela fell to

Marxists, Peru has fallen to Marxists, Chile has fallen to Marxists. Stunningly the most stable democracy in South America has fallen to Marxists and Honduras, all of those countries have fallen. Colombia is another one that has also fallen to Marxists, and they're destroying economies and those people are fleeing to the USA. Do you know what CNN said the reason was for these millions of people crossing our southern border? CNN said it was due to climate change! Complete nonsense! This has nothing to do with climate change. It has everything to do with the very policies that these people (the WEF elite) are trying to import into the United States.

- World Economic Forum agenda is fundamentally anti-human. It is anti-Christian. It is atheistic to its core.

A Possible Interpretation of the Iron and Clay of Daniel Chapter 2

The Iron and Clay of Daniel Chapter Two Modern Day Democracy



I first posted this article in 2015. Today I showed it to my wife Tess, and she was surprised I never told her about it before! I said, "There's probably a lot more I haven't shared with you yet, things I learned the first 67 years of my life before we met."

Daniel chapter 2 is an amazing prophecy of the history of the world from the time of Daniel and the kingdom of Babylon to the present day. King Nebuchadnezzar has a dream which he cannot interpret and cannot even remember, but God shows both the dream and the interpretation to his servant Daniel!

In the dream King Nebuchadnezzar sees an image, a statue of a man with a head of gold, chest and arms of silver, belly of brass, legs of iron, and feet part of iron and part of clay.

Daniel 2:32 This image's head was of fine gold, his breast and his arms of silver, his belly and his thighs of brass,
33 His legs of iron, **his feet part of iron and part of clay.**
34 Thou sawest till that a stone was cut out without hands, which smote the image upon his feet that were of iron and clay, and brake them to pieces.

We know from history this is a prophecy of the last four major empires on Earth. The first was Babylon which is the head of gold, the second with the breast and his arms of silver, was the dual leadership empire of the Medes and the Persians, the third, the belly of brass, signified the Greek empire (the Greeks like to eat!) and the fourth, the Roman Empire which started off pagan and later in the 4th century adopted the face of Christianity while continuing pagan practices and persecuting true Christians.

Does Rome secretly rule the world today? According to the Bible, the last empire continues on to the very end till it is destroyed with "a stone was cut out without hands" – Jesus Christ and His return to earth! This means that the fourth and last kingdom of Rome *must* be covertly ruling the world this very day! Rome's rule is represented as iron – a dictatorship. But what of the clay? My pastor David taught me it represented democracies, democratic forms of government while the iron today represents dictatorships such as North Korea and Communist China. But are so-called democratic nations truly practicing a government based on the will of the majority of the people? Or are they really covert dictatorships or oligarchies ruled by an elite few who ostensibly support the democratic process of giving people a choice in elections? No matter which party wins, long-term policies often do not change. Are the elite keeping an iron control of policies no matter what the people choose? All my research tells me so! I think any honest researcher would agree with this.

Now to come to the point of this article: On June 1, 2015, while talking about the iron and clay of Daniel chapter two with a friend, he remarked, "The clay is covering the iron!" Suddenly I saw the iron and the clay in a new light! All democratic governments have an iron dictatorial core which the common people do not see! They can't see it because it is *hidden* behind the democratic process, the clay which covers the iron control of the elite! Remember that though the majority of the American people were against the war in Afghanistan and Iraq, President Bush basically told them they had no choice in the matter. Call that a democracy?



Please understand this is merely an interpretation of the iron and clay of the feet of Daniel 2:34. My scientist friend, Dr. John Gideon Hartnett liked it, and posted it on his website: [Iron and clay in the toes of Daniel 2 image](#)

If you think you have a better interpretation of the Iron and Clay, please share it in the comments section below.

[When Priests Forgot About God: An Analysis of the Catholic Church's Role in Genocide](#)



Details of the history of the complicity of the Roman Catholic Church in the Rwandan genocide of the Tutsi tribe by the Hutu militia in 1994.

[What Makes A Nation Great? An American Independence Day Message](#)



I copied this article from my friend Jim Gibson's Facebook post. If you are an American, please know our only hope to continue as people free from tyranny and oppression is to keep to the principles the founding fathers of America founded our nation on.

What Makes A Nation Great?

By Jim Gibson <http://www.jimgibson.net/>

On this date (July 4) in 1776, the 13 colonies declared their independence from England. It would not be until 1783 before they actually gained their freedom at the signing of the Treaty of Paris. The document upon which all our laws are based is the Constitution which was signed in 1787. The Founding Fathers wrote the Constitution whose very foundation was established upon what was then known as "Natural Law." One of the most referenced sources cited by the Founding Fathers during the writing and discussion of the Constitution was from Blackstone's Commentaries of English Law. Blackstone maintained that Natural Law was given to mankind by God. These God-given principles were to aid man in their governance. Thus, our Constitution reflects those very statutes which were ordained by God. The apostle Paul alluded to these principles that govern in the hearts of mankind with these words as found in Romans 1:19, 20 and 2:14, 15.

"Because that which may be known of God is manifest in them; for God hath shewed it unto them. For the invisible things of him from the creation of the world are clearly seen, being understood by the things that are made, even his eternal power and Godhead; so that they are without excuse."

"For when the Gentiles, which have not the law, do by nature the things contained in the law, these, having not the law, are a law unto themselves. Which shew the work of the law written in their hearts, their conscience also bearing witness, and their thoughts the mean while accusing or else excusing one another."

A person who greatly influenced and inspired the Founding Fathers was John Locke who wrote about that "Natural Law" that formed the very foundation of our nation. Listen to his words: "The State of Nature has a Law of Nature to govern it which obliges everyone...that being all equal and independent no one ought to harm another in his Life, Health, Liberty, or Possessions." Notice the obvious similarity of wording as that also found in the Declaration of Independence. One historian made the comment that it was the Declaration of Independence which should actually be considered America's foundation and the Constitution was the house upon which it was built. Anna Beth Rankin wrote that, "The Constitution is the Supreme Law of the United States; however it has its philosophical roots in the Declaration of Independence." To this agrees the statement made by Abraham Lincoln, "the Declaration of Independence was an 'apple of gold' whereas the Constitution was the 'picture of silver' framed around it." The point being stressed here is that the Natural Law, as expressed in the Declaration, was laws and rights given by God, whereas the Constitution was made of laws created by man.

The Framers and early Supreme Court Justices relied heavily on the concepts as found in the Natural Law. Through the years, the Courts have strayed further and further from these original principles of law and justice. In fact, Supreme Court Justice Clarence Thomas was once castigated by a Harvard Law professor for adhering to these principles of Natural Law. It has been the trend for several decades now to treat the Constitution as a "living

document." As a result, it has been relegated to an obsolete and discarded document in favor of a more fluid social construct which is entirely relative to the whims of a changing society.

In fact, former President Barack Obama made this shocking statement: "We are no longer just a Christian nation." In his speech, President Obama alluded to the fact that we might have once been a Christian nation, but no longer. What he said is entirely correct. But our magnificent nation was indeed founded upon Christian principles. Two professors, Donald S. Lutz and Charles S. Hyneman made an exhaustive study of our Founders' most read books, newspaper articles, and monographs with explicit political content. They limited their study to the period from 1760 to 1805. They concluded that the most often cited source was the Bible. This should come as no surprise especially as one reflects upon the words of Benjamin Franklin at the Constitutional Convention. Listen to the wisdom coming from this 81 year-old statesman.

"I have lived, Sir, a long time; and the longer I live, the more convincing proofs I see of this truth, that God governs in the affairs of men. And if a sparrow cannot fall to the ground without his notice, is it probable that an empire can rise without his aid? We have been assured, Sir, in the sacred writings that 'except the Lord build the house, they labor in vain that build it.' I firmly believe this; and I also believe that, without his concurring aid, we shall succeed in this political building no better than the builders of Babel... I therefore beg leave to move that, henceforth, prayers imploring the assistance of heaven and its blessings on our deliberations be held in this assembly every morning before we proceed to business, and that one or more of the clergy of this city be requested to officiate in that service."

Just a few years later, our nation's second President, John Adams, echoed these sentiments when he said, "Our Constitution was made only for a moral and religious people. It is wholly inadequate to the government of any other." It is understandable for those who do not like the "strict constructionist" interpretation of the Constitution when one reflects upon our present societal morality. In this sense, the Constitution is becoming more and more foreign to the thought processes of a liberal and progressive culture.

There was a time in Israel's history when they were regarded as a great nation, although small in territory and in population. What made them great? To find this answer, we need to go back to their very founding. Listen to the words of Moses as he instructs the children of Israel before they entered the land of Canaan:

"Behold, I have taught you statutes and judgments, even as the LORD my God commanded me... Keep therefore and do them; for this is your wisdom and your understanding in the sight of the nations, which shall hear all these statutes, and say, Surely this great nation is a wise and understanding people." (Deut. 4:5, 6)

Just as the nation of Israel began as a great nation because they were founded upon Godly principles and laws, so too was the United States great because they founded our Constitution upon these same fundamental truths.

Alexis de Tocqueville has been quoted as saying that, "America is great because America is good. When America ceases to be good, she will cease to be great." Although this quote has never been verified, its words bear much truth and are truly sublime.

"Blessed is the nation whose God is the LORD."

For almost 200 years, it was an accepted premise that our nation was founded upon Christian principles. In fact, John Adams, the second President of the United States, made this declaration:

"Our Constitution was made only for a moral and religious people. It is wholly inadequate to the government of any other."

Although many people believe, unwittingly, that the Constitution contains the phrase "separation of Church and State" or "wall of separation", these phrases are to be found nowhere within the Constitution or the Bill of Rights. We find their origin, however, in a letter written by Thomas Jefferson to the Danbury Baptist Association in 1802. In this letter, Jefferson uses the phrase, "a wall of separation between Church and State." Contrary to the popular opinion of today, Jefferson's use of the phrase meant that the Church should be protected from the State or government, and not, that the State must be protected from the Church. It was President Thomas Jefferson, in 1803, that recommended Congress appropriate funds "for civilizing the Indians and promoting Christianity." Actually, he signed appropriation bills to Christianize the Indians three different times during his presidency.

For over 100 years, the Bible was used as a textbook in our public schools. Sadly, though, this tradition of a Christian America was dealt a serious blow by the Supreme Court in several consecutive rulings beginning in 1962 and culminating in June, 1963. It was through these rulings that both prayer and Bible reading were removed from the public school system. This Supreme Court decided its decision with a 8 to 1 ruling. The majority, in writing its opinion, stated that their ruling was one of "wholesome neutrality". They further stated that their decision would in no way be deemed as a victory for "secularism". We know now that it did have this "unintended consequence".

As a result of these rulings, our nation began to lose its vision and sight. We no longer can distinguish between good and evil. Just a few years after this Supreme Court ruling, Joseph Fletcher in 1966 wrote a book titled *Situation Ethics*. In the book, Fletcher argues that there are no moral absolutes, everything is relative. Infidelity, stealing, lying and a myriad of other moral turpitudes might be acceptable depending on the situation. In the Old Testament, during the period of the judges, the Bible records that "every man did that which was right in his own eyes."

Since our nation's founding, the Bible has been used as the rule of law and has acted as the guide for our country's morality. Obviously, our moral conscience as a nation has deteriorated and crumbled. We have forsaken the only moral compass that has ever been given to mankind.

Is there any hope left for America, for the Church? The answer is yes. With regard to the Church, we must individually and corporately confess that we have sinned and failed in our duty and responsibility to God. We must again turn to the Bible and let God's Word lead us and guide us in all aspects of our life. After we have done this, we can then stand on the promise of God as quoted in 2 Chron.7:14.

"If my people, which are called by my name, shall humble themselves, and pray, and seek my face, and turn from their wicked ways; then will I hear from heaven, and will forgive their sin, and will heal their land."