


Kamala, Carville & the Doctrine of Protection & Obedience



U.S. Presidents "bending the neck" to the popes of Rome.

This is from Christian J. Pinto's [Noise of Thunder Radio program](#). Chris makes some speculations on what may happen after the November election. Trump is likely to win, but will the Jesuits let him live? If not, JD Vance would become POTUS! Would the Jesuits rather have Vance to be the President of the USA? I think so. JD Vance is a Roman Catholic and therefore would probably be more obedient to directives from the Vatican.

A partial transcription is below the SoundCloud audio.

Transcription

Okay, praise the Lord, you guys, and welcome. I'm Chris Pinto. This is Noise of Thunder Radio.

Today in the show, we are going to talk about, well, we're going to do a short program today. We're only going to go on for about half an hour. But I wanted to talk about this issue, where at a Kamala Harris rally, they had a couple of pro-life guys, at least one who was there. And she was talking about the issue of abortion, talking about how Donald Trump appointed three judges to the U.S. Supreme Court, who were specifically there to help overturn Roe v. Wade.

And as she was talking about it, somebody shouts, Jesus is Lord. And she says, "Oh, you're at the wrong rally." And all of the people, or most of them, start whooping and hollering.

It's almost unbelievable when people first started talking about it. You have to go online and you have to watch and listen.

One of them shouts, Christ is King. And the other one says, Jesus is Lord. That's what they say. And that's when Kamala Harris says, you got the wrong rally. And all of her supporters, most of them anyway, are shouting and whooping and celebrating the fact.

It is the open rejection of Christ and Christianity, even though Kamala Harris claims she's a Baptist, she claims she's a believer. We all know there's all kinds of inconsistencies with that. But it is remarkable when you have just an outright rejection of the Name and authority of our Lord Jesus Christ.

That is it gets very, very shocking at that point for people who are claiming that they're believers in Christ. Where Trump is concerned, even though we all know Trump is not perfect, he has his inconsistencies, but his profession is that he is a Christian. We've never seen or heard him do anything like this, even though yes, there are, you know, there's some questionable moments with President Trump. Nevertheless, he publicly acknowledges Christianity, the Bible, the Christian faith and religion, et cetera. However, imperfectly he does so, he seeks to honor God by his profession of faith and by his example as a magistrate.

I think that's very important because we've got a whole list of magistrates in the Bible who are obviously not perfect men, not perfect men, but publicly they honored God, gave glory to God and encouraged the people to obey God and his law and his commands and so on. Trump's not perfect. There's no doubt he's got issues. Nobody could question that.

And a lot of people are questioning what is going on with the Kamala Harris campaign. There was the Al Smith dinner that happened where this is the annual, well, not annual, but it's the traditions been going on for many years where when you have the candidates for president, typically the Republican and the Democrat candidates right before the election, they go to this Al Smith dinner and they sit on either side of the Cardinal, the Archbishop of New York, who at this point is Cardinal Dolan, and it's supposed to be a lighthearted event where, you know, there's all this kind of tough campaigning that's gone on for the previous year or so. And then the candidates come together and they all just poke fun at each other and tell jokes and this kind of thing.

And it's supposed to be all in good fun, that kind of thing. Of course, it also shows the very strong political influence of Rome in America, even though you have to admit it's intended to be this kind of good natured sort of event. They try to sort of take the edge off all the politics.

But we also know that Rome is very, very political. The last time it is said, they made mention of this several times. I talked about it on one of the previous shows that Kamala Harris had announced she was not going to attend the Al Smith charity dinner this time.

And then the Jesuits in America Magazine said, you know, it really would be a good idea for Kamala Harris to go to this Al Smith dinner. And I said, you know, it's going to be very interesting to see how much influence the Jesuits have over Kamala Harris. Well, it turns out she ended up she didn't go. She did not go. She ended up recording some prerecorded video presentation that was partly a comedy sketch with a Saturday Night Live actress. And the whole thing was not really very funny and not really very well done at all.

And they made mention multiple times that the last time the last politician to refuse to go or who chose not to go was Walter Mondale when Mondale was up against Ronald Reagan. And then Mondale ended up losing to Reagan in a massive landslide. I think Mondale only got like one state in the entire country, but it was an overwhelming landslide against Mondale.

And I remember that year I was in high school when it happened. And is that a foreshadowing? Trump openly said that he thought Kamala Harris had insulted the Catholic Church by not attending the dinner. He was there and, you know, he told his jokes and whatnot. And some of them were very, very funny. But at times he was also very serious. It's hard to tell where this is headed.

I read the America magazine article in the aftermath. They still don't swing 100 percent in favor of Kamala Harris, but they don't openly support President Trump either. So it's hard to tell what's going to happen.

Now, I'll give you my conspiracy theory. If Rome is behind these assassination attempts, if because there's no way that I don't know of any way to prove it other than the general character of the Jesuits throughout history, that they're known for carrying out assassinations against world leaders. But that's circumstantial. If we accept what has been said about the Jesuit order throughout history, if we believe **John Adams, who said all the monarchs of Europe feared Jesuit assassination**, etc., if we accept all of that, then it may well be that the Jesuits have something to do with these attempts on the life of President Trump. So what could the scenario be? Well, it may be that Trump will be elected in a landslide and that they will allow that to happen.

The Deep State collectively will allow it to happen because Kamala, she's not doing well as a candidate in a whole variety of different ways. And I think if **it's going to be a very, very hard sell to convince people that she actually won, they're going to have to rig the election. I don't see any way she can win** everywhere that they're going.

Even liberal media is saying when they go and talk to people in different neighborhoods, 90% of anyone, even in the black community are pro-Trump. Kamala Harris has very little support out there. So they're going to have a hard time selling the idea that she legitimately won the election if they rig it.

Again, if. But many people suspect that they will try to rig it. So let's say Trump gets elected and the attempts on his life continue. In fact, there's a Breitbart News article by John Nolte and the headline is **"Politico Stops Hiding Desire to see Donald Trump Assassinated."** And then Breitbart says, quote, after two assassination attempts, no decent news outlet publishes incendiary lies like this unless it is looking for a third attempt. Then it says, quote, "Politico is no longer hiding its desire to see Donald Trump murdered."

That's what they're saying. But what could happen if Trump gets elected and then somehow or other, if he's taken out or he suddenly has a heart attack or drinks a cup of tea and doesn't wake up like Pope John Paul I, if something like that were to happen, that means **the Dominican convert J.D. Vance would become the third Catholic president of America**, of the United States.

And I've talked about on this program before the importance of remembering that **J.D. Vance not that long ago was a rabid anti-Trump Democrat**, worked for CNN. Yes, I've been watching him speak throughout this campaign. He speaks

very, very well. I hope he's sincere. But don't forget, not that long ago, he was a hardcore anti-Trumper. Hardcore.

Was converted to Catholicism by the Dominicans and has said in the past that **Catholic social teaching is his political worldview**. And if you watch our film, American Jesuits, then you'll understand what Catholic social teaching is. It's basically the Vatican's version of communism and socialism.

That's what it is. Catholic social teaching is what the bishops down on the Mexican border uses the argument to justify the mass invasion of America with illegal aliens.

Up to now, until Kamala Harris did not show up at the Al Smith dinner, my belief was they want to put her in the White House because she's a puppet that they can easily control. That has been my thought up to now. Then when I saw she didn't actually go and her absence was perceived, when Trump said that she had insulted the Catholic Church, most of the people there at this dinner applauded as though they agreed that what she did was an insult to the Catholic Church. Now, what that is going to mean, how that's going to be perceived, will that mean that she's going to lose the support of Cardinal Dolan and the Jesuits at Georgetown University? They are on record. The Jesuits are on record.

If you get the book that we offer on our website, [Washington in the lap of Rome](#), (also in text [on this website](#)) it is a saying of the Jesuits at Georgetown that if a politician is running for office, *except they bend the neck*, that's what they say. They're not going to have the support of the Catholic Church except they bend the neck, meaning you bend the neck in submission to the Pope, whoever you are, whether you're Catholic or Protestant. If you bend the neck and honor the Pope.

Now go look at the pictures because there's photos online of George W. Bush meeting with the Pope and look at him bend the neck to the Pope.



Then go look at Barack Obama.



Look at him with the Pope bending the neck and you'll see what bending the neck looks like. All right. But did Kamala Harris refuse to bend the neck? I don't know.

There's so much back and forth and we live at a time where deception is the ongoing practice in the world we live in today. So discernment is very, very challenging on issues like this.

At the Al Smith dinner, one of the comments that I thought was very interesting, I watched most of that, not all of it. I watch it, obviously, because I think it's very symbolic. It is part of the evidence of Washington in the lap of Rome. Watch our latest film, *American Jesuits*. And you'll see lots and lots of evidence of the activities of the Jesuit order and Rome herself in our history, past and present.

One of the comments that was made at the Al Smith dinner was that when the upcoming election happens, then right afterwards, supposedly there's going to be a civil war. Now, the way that it was presented at the dinner, because the whole thing is about comedy and taking a lighthearted approach to politics, et cetera, it was presented in a jovial manner. But then there's other declarations that are not so jovial, that are a lot more serious.

There's an interview from James Carville, where his words move in the direction of really seemingly inspiring some type of civil war or uprising by Democrats and liberals in the country if Trump wins. And it would appear that the reason is because Kamala Harris has turned out to be a really bad candidate. You have more and more people in the black community saying

they're not going to vote for her. You have moments like this, where she's telling these two Christian guys that they're at the wrong rally for saying that Jesus is Lord, et cetera.

She's just had a number of political gaffes that are devastating. And I think lead Democrats like Carville, James Carville, of course, is famous for his comment to Bill Clinton when he said, "It's the economy, stupid." In other words, what's the main issue that everybody's concerned about? And Carville said, it's the economy.

And so he's been famous from then on, but he's routinely brought out for his views of elections and politics and all the rest of it. Let's listen to a little bit of his audio in this interview and hear exactly what he said, where he is in a little bit of a veiled way, but not so veiled, saying that Democrats and liberals should think about civil uprising if Trump wins. Listen.

James Carville: And, you know, the other question is, were you better off four years ago? Are you going to be better off in a penitentiary four years from now? Or still having the right of free speech and the right of political dissent? Because that's literally what's on the ballot. And I suspect in 1941, I don't know if people thought they were better off than they were four years ago, or in 1861, what people thought. But I know this, when the republic was threatened, people picked up arms and answered the call. Or, you know, in 1965, in the middle of the civil rights movement, I think people decided they want to take matters in their own hands and create a better country. And that's what I hope we do here in the next two weeks.

Okay, so you can tell from his dialogue there, that's James Carville, again, being interviewed, the guy who's interviewing him, you see him kind of raises eyebrows when he's making these comments, because it's not entirely clear what he's saying.

But when he talks about taking matters into their own hands and making a better country, and he's talking about taking up arms to defend the republic and this kind of thing, and suggesting that what's really on the ballot is that somehow or other, if Trump becomes president, then people are going to lose freedom of speech, they're going to lose the freedom to disagree there. So even though *there's no evidence to support that at all*, that's not what President Trump did in his first administration. Why anybody would think he's going to do that in a second administration, I have no idea.

Personally, I think this is an example of those on the left, accusing conservatives and Republicans of that which they are really guilty of. We all saw what happened with Black Lives Matter and Antifa, where they're burning down neighborhoods, burning down businesses, all this other kind of stuff. They're the ones who engaged in the violent uprising.

But for somebody like James Carville to be making these kind of comments is, you have to wonder, because he's an insider. He's somebody who's been on the inside track of the Democratic Party and of Washington, DC. He's been on the inside track for decades. So he's not just some guy. He's not just a

newscaster or some sideline politician. He's an insider. He's been at the highest levels of our government. So it makes you wonder why he would be making those kind of comments so close to the election.

Now, exactly what's going to happen, we don't know. We don't have any way of knowing. I think only the Lord knows exactly what will happen on the other side of this election. To me, I find it very disturbing.

This is really the first time in the whole history, well, at least the history of American politics that I've seen throughout my lifetime. I don't remember people even making jokes like that 10, 20, 30 years ago. I don't remember those kind of jokes being told because nobody really thought that we would be thrown into a civil war.

There's all kinds of strange things that are going on. You have, and I'm sure many of you have seen this report, the mystery drones that swarmed around a US military base for 17 days. And whenever anybody inquired about this, the official answer from our government is, well, we're not sure who's behind the drones.

We have no idea. But yes, they have been swarming around and it's very interesting. We're looking into it.

And you're thinking it's kind of like when the weather balloons were floating over or these stories that we have all these Chinese spies in our country. And we're told casually in the news, oh, Dianne Feinstein had a chauffeur who was a spy for China for years or that, or that Congressman Eric Swalwell was having an affair with a Chinese spy named Fang Fang. And it's just sort of brushed off, you know, nothing happens.

He's not taken into custody. There's no questioning of him. What were you doing with this Chinese spy? I mean, there would have been a tremendous amount of alarm if something like this had happened during what we call the greatest generation.

They took those things very seriously. But now there's this kind of casual attitude. Very little or nothing is done about these things.

I mean, these are, it's like there is a pattern of our government abandoning its role to provide security for our country and to be the watchman on the wall, as it were, that sounds the trumpet and takes action when they see an enemy threatening the security and sovereignty of the United States of America. The open border situation is probably the clearest example that they have abandoned the protection of our country by just allowing millions of unvetted people to come in who they know are going to be, many of them, criminals, drug dealers, thugs, terrorists, all kinds of stuff. The reports are ongoing and have been given for years now.

I mean, but the people in charge of our government, it's as though they have almost completely abandoned the idea that their role is to protect the United States of America. We have to remember that if you read the Declaration of Independence, it says in the declaration that King George III abdicated

government because he declared the colonies outside of his protection. In other words, the king would not protect the colonies according to the rule of law.

So they said he has abdicated government, meaning that they no longer had to obey him. If you look up the principle online, protection and obedience, you'll see this was a major issue during the time of the American Revolution. In fact, here's a quote.

This is from a work we talk about in True Christian History of America said by John Adams to be one of the more popular, well-known works studied during the time of the American Revolution. The *Vindicae Contra Tyrannos*, which is a defense of liberty against tyranny. And it was written by the Huguenots.

At one point they say, quote, there is ever and in all places a mutual and reciprocal obligation between the people and prince. If the prince fail in his promise, the people are exempt from obedience. The contract is made void.