


KJV the Most Accurate English translation of the Bible



The Pied Piper is either a Jesuit or a Jesuit coadjutor.

Codex **Vaticanus** (AKA Codex B) is considered (by dumb “academics”) to be the most authoritative of the Minority Texts, although it is responsible for **over 36,000** (bad) **changes** that appear today in the new versions.

This manuscript was “found” in 1481 in the **Vatican library in Rome**, where it is currently held, and from whence it received its name. It is written on expensive vellum, a fine parchment originally from the skin of calf or antelope. Some authorities claim that it was one of a batch of 50 Bibles ordered from Egypt by the Roman Emperor Constantine; hence its beautiful appearance and the expensive skins which were used for its pages. But alas! this manuscript, **like its corrupt Egyptian partner Codex Sinaiticus (Aleph) is also riddled with omissions, insertions and amendments.**

The corrupt and unreliable nature of Codex B is best summed up by one who has thoroughly examined them, John W Burgon: “The impurity of the text exhibited by these codices is not a question of opinion but fact...In the Gospels alone, Codex B(Vatican) leaves out words or whole clauses no less than **1,491 times**. It bears traces of **careless transcriptions** on every page...”

According to The Westminster Dictionary of the Bible, “It should be noted . . . that **there is no prominent Biblical (manuscripts) in which there occur such gross cases of misspelling, faulty grammar, and omission, as in (Codex) B.**” – Taken from http://www.1611kingjamesbible.com/codex_vaticanus.html/

And yet, all modern English translations are based from that corrupt manuscript?! – a manuscript written by Roman Catholics and yet now used by today’s Protestants?! I think Protestant Christians need to read up a bit on the [history of the Protestant Reformation](#), for if they did, they wouldn’t

touch any Roman Catholic publication with a 10 foot pole!

Did you know that the Ethiopian eunuch's statement of faith in Jesus Christ – is *omitted* in most modern English translations?

And Philip said, If thou believest with all thine heart, thou mayest. And he answered and said, I believe that Jesus Christ is the Son of God. – Acts 8:37 KJV

That scripture is not in the Revised Standard Version (RSV) English Standard Version (ESV) New American Standard Bible (NASB), New International Version (NIV), Good News Translation (GNT), and other modern translations that were not translated from the Greek manuscript called Textus Receptus as the King James Version (KJV) was.

Other verses such as are John 5:4, and 1 John 5:7 are also missing. Why? Because modern translations are based on manuscripts that are full of errors!

From <http://www.gotquestions.org/missing-verses.html>:

“Since the KJV was translated in A.D. 1611, many Biblical manuscripts have been discovered that are older and more accurate than the manuscripts the KJV was based on.”

Is that really so? Just because they are *older* does not make them more *accurate*. Older manuscripts were in better shape physically and therefore lasted longer than copies of the Textus Receptus simply because they were unused by translators who knew them to be inaccurate. How much wear and tear do documents get after being thrown in the trash bin?

Moreover, the KJV translators were better led by the Holy Spirit when translating the Bible. Proof? Ask any little kid who went to church Sunday school, “Who killed the giant Goliath?” He or she will answer, “David did!” But that's not what modern translations say in 2 Samuel 21:19:

And there was again war with the Philistines at Gob, and **Elhanan** the son of Jaare-oregim, the Bethlehemite, **struck down Goliath** the Gittite, wthe shaft of whose spear was like a weaver's beam. (ESV)

There was another battle with the Philistines at Gob, and **Elhanan** son of Jair from Bethlehem **killed Goliath** from Gath, whose spear had a shaft as thick as the bar on a weaver's loom.(GNT)

There was war with the Philistines again at Gob, and **Elhanan** the son of Jaare-oregim the Bethlehemite **killed Goliath** the Gittite, the shaft of whose spear was like a weaver's beam. (NASB)

These and other modern translations say that Elhanan killed Goliath.

2 Samuel 21:19 And there was again a battle in Gob with the Philistines,

where Elhanan the son of Jaareoregim, a Bethlehemite, slew **the brother of Goliath** the Gittite, the staff of whose spear was like a weaver's beam. (KJV)

"The brother of" is missing in the Hebrew text which is why modern translations didn't include it. But the KJV translators knew it could not have been Elhanan who killed Goliath, not only because 1 Samuel chapter 17 clearly states that David killed him, but also because of,

1 Chronicles 20:5: And there was war again with the Philistines; and Elhanan the son of Jair slew Lahmi *the brother* of Goliath the Gittite, whose spear staff was like a weaver's beam. (KJV)

So the KJV translators knew to include "the brother of" in verse 2 Samuel 21:19 because 1 Chronicles 20:5 clearly says so, and even included the name of Goliath's brother.

Conclusion: Modern translations are not as accurate or as trustworthy as the KJV.

This post was inspired by the sermon of Danny Castle, a pastor in North Carolina.

Also see, [more reasons why the 1611 King James Version is the best English translation](#) of the Bible.

Ronald Reagan eloquently explains why the English of the KJV is superior to modern translations.